

TY CLEVENGER

*Attorney at Law*

1095 Meadow Hill Drive  
Lavon, Texas 75166

telephone: 979.985.5289  
facsimile: 979.530.9523

*tyclevenger@yahoo.com*  
Texas Bar No. 24034380

December 16, 2014

The Hon. Coty Siegert, District Attorney  
Robertson County  
Franklin, Texas 77856

*Via e-mail attachment*

Re: Bryan F. "Rusty" Russ, Jr. and Bryan F. "Trey" Russ, III

Dear Mr. Siegert:

I represent Hazel Embra, Joyce Rattler, and Lashunda White, all of whom are members of the Hearne City Council, in a petition for a writ of mandamus against the City of Hearne. My clients seek to force the city council to schedule a recall election for Maxine Vaughn, another member of the council. As a result of those proceedings in the Tenth Court of Appeals, I have obtained unequivocal evidence that Bryan F. "Rusty" Russ, Jr., the Hearne City Attorney, and his son Bryan F. "Trey" Russ, III, violated Section 38.12 of the Texas Penal Code, which outlaws barratry. On behalf of my clients, I request a criminal investigation.

Rusty Russ and Trey Russ both filed a counter-claim on October 17, 2014, another counter-claim on November 17, 2014, and a third on November 18, 2014, purportedly on behalf of the Hearne City Council, in *Hearne Citizens Oversight Committee, et al. v. City of Hearne, et al.*, Cause No. 14-08-19,607-CV, 82<sup>nd</sup> District Court of Robertson County. As set forth below, the latter claim was filed for the apparent purpose of delaying proceedings in the Tenth Court. Regardless, the Russes did not consult with the city council about the counter-claims, nor were they authorized to file the counter-claims. According to the Penal Code, "[a] person commits an offense if, with intent to obtain an economic benefit the person... knowingly institutes a suit or claim that the person has not been authorized to pursue..." Texas Penal Code §38.12(a)(1). My clients inform me that the Russes sought payment for the unauthorized court filings.

This apparent violation is aggravated by the fact that I had previously filed a bar grievance against both of the Russes after I learned that they were representing private clients against their municipal clients. Neither of the Russes notified the respective city councils, much less obtained a waiver of their conflicts of interest. In 2013, Rusty Russ even advised the Calvert City Council about whether it should intervene as a plaintiff in a case where Mr. Russ was already representing the defendant.

As part of the grievance process, Mr. Russ and I wrote back and forth to the state bar, and I explained in detail how the law obligates Mr. Russ to obtain the approval of the city council before purporting to act in court on behalf of a municipality. I have attached some of our 2013 correspondence as Exhibit 1. As a municipal attorney, Mr. Russ should have

already known that the city can only act through its city council, but he certainly was aware of that fact after I filed the grievance.

After I learned that the Russes filed the counter-claims without authorization, I wrote to both of them on behalf of my clients, demanding that they cease and desist immediately. I have attached a copy of that letter, as well as our subsequent correspondence, as Exhibit 2. You will note that I twice encouraged them to contact the state bar ethics hotline for further guidance. I doubt seriously that either one of them made that call, because they would have known what the state bar would tell them: cease and desist immediately.

As a further aggravating factor, you should know that the November 17 and November 18 counter-claims appear to be a fraud on both the district court and the Tenth Court of Appeals. I have attached a copy of the petition for mandamus (Exhibit 3), respondents' response (Exhibit 4), and relators' reply (Exhibit 5) from *In re Milton Johnson, et al.*, Case No. 10-14-00341-CV, Tenth Court of Appeals. The reply notes that the November 17, 2014 counter-claim was not only filed without authorization, but for the apparent purpose of delaying proceedings in the Tenth Court of Appeals. The same is true of the November 18, 2014 counter-claim.

You may wonder why the Russes have gone to such lengths to fight a recall election for Councilwoman Vaughn. For one thing, my clients wish to hire a forensic accountant to audit city finances, because the city has previously awarded taxpayer funds to companies and projects owned by council members or their relatives, as well as a company owned by Rusty Russ and his law partner. If Ms. Vaughn is recalled, my clients will constitute a majority of the council, and they will be able to force such an audit. Moreover, my clients intend to terminate Mr. Russ as city attorney because of his pattern of professional misconduct.

I might have more sympathy for the Russes, but for the fact that both of them have previously tried to frame me for barratry. In fact, Rusty Russ and his law partner have twice tried to frame me for barratry, and both times the charges were shown to be baseless. Whereas the Russes went behind my back and only presented partial information to your office, I have given the Russes fair warning to cease and desist, and I am even copying them on this letter (which is far more courtesy than they ever showed me). Regardless, the Russes are very familiar with the law against barratry, and it appears that they have violated it anyway.

A special grand jury is scheduled to convene on January 13, 2015 for the purpose of determining whether former District Attorney John Paschall misappropriated money from the estate of Marium Oscar, and I recommend that the case against the Russes be presented on that date. I filed a public information request with the City of Hearne, and I should have all the evidence needed to present to the grand jury prior to January 13. Yesterday I spoke with Asst. Attorney General Shane Attaway, the special prosecutor for the Paschall case, and he does not object.

I do not believe the case should be presented to the regular grand jury because the presiding judge, Robert M. Stem, has a serious conflict of interest. As detailed in my July 14, 2014 letter to you, Judge Stem secretly accepted free legal services from Rusty Russ around the same time that he was helping Mr. Russ and his law partner perpetrate a seven-figure real

estate fraud. Two weeks ago, I was informed that state and federal law enforcement agencies are now investigating that fraud. Moreover, when I asked Judge Stem to recuse himself from any grand jury investigation of Mr. Paschall, Judge Stem just carried on with business as usual, *e.g.*, stacking the grand jury with political cronies and appointing the sister-in-law of one of Paschall's attorneys as the forewoman.

I have copied this letter to Judge Olen Underwood and Judge Doug Shaver because I believe the scope of Judge Shaver's visiting-judge appointment should be expanded to include the bartry cases as well as any of the other matters pertaining to the Russes, Judge Stem, the real estate fraud, etc. I have copied Judge Towslee-Corbett on this letter because it appears that a fraud on the court is being perpetrated in Cause No.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Ty Clevenger

cc: The Hon. Olen Underwood, Judge Presiding  
Second Judicial Administrative District  
The Hon. Doug Shaver, Visiting Judge  
82<sup>nd</sup> District Court of Robertson County  
The Hon. Reva Towslee-Corbett, Visiting Judge  
82<sup>nd</sup> District Court of Robertson County  
The Hon. Robert M. Stem, Judge  
82<sup>nd</sup> District Court of Robertson County  
The Hon. Shane Attaway, Asst. Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General of Texas  
Mr. Dirrell S. Jones, Asst. Disciplinary Counsel  
State Bar of Texas  
Mr. Frank Malinek, Assistant Chief  
Texas Ranger Division  
Mr. John Anderson, Special Agent  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Mr. Bryan F. "Rusty" Russ, Jr.  
Mr. Bryan F. "Trey" Russ, III