

TY CLEVINGER
21 Bennett Avenue #62
New York, New York 10033

telephone: 979.985.5289
facsimile: 979.530.9523

tyclevenger@yahoo.com
Texas Bar No. 24034380

October 17, 2016

Mr. Stark Ligon, Executive Director
Office of Professional Conduct
Supreme Court of Arkansas
2100 Riverfront Drive, Suite 200
Little Rock, Arkansas 72202-1747

Via email attachment:
stark.ligon@arcourts.gov

Re: Hillary Rodham Clinton (ABN #73104), Case No. T-2016-495

Mr. Ligon:

I write in response to the attached October 10, 2016 email from Deputy Director Michael Harmon, wherein he indicates that your office is withholding action on my complaint against Hillary Rodham Clinton until after the U.S. Attorney's Office decides whether to prosecute her for perjury. I ask that you (or, via copy of this letter, the Arkansas Supreme Court) overrule that decision.

As a practical matter, I think we both know that Mrs. Clinton has a better chance of being struck by lightning than prosecuted by the Obama Administration. It appears, therefore, that your office is making excuses for delaying the case against Mrs. Clinton, presumably until after the Presidential election. That, in turn, creates a strong appearance of political favoritism, as I doubt the average Arkansas attorney would be afforded such favorable consideration.

I must also direct your attention to Section 5(C)(3) of the Procedures of the Arkansas Supreme Court Regulating Professional Conduct of Attorneys at Law:

Upon a determination by the Executive Director that a complaint sets out allegations falling within the purview of the Committee and that those allegations are supported by sufficient evidence, the Executive Director shall provide any assistance needed in the preparation of the complainant's affidavit and shall process a formal complaint pursuant to these Procedures.

(emphasis added). Nothing in the rules permits the Office of Professional Conduct to sideline a complaint until another agency decides whether to criminally prosecute the respondent. And while I can see reasons for delaying a disciplinary trial until after the disposition of a criminal trial, the Justice Department has not even indicated whether it will *investigate*, much less prosecute, the Congressional referral.

There is no practical reason to postpone an *investigation* just because another entity *might* also investigate, and the rules do not grant such latitude to your office. Since the duty to proceed with my complaint is mandatory, I believe your office's delay tactics could be grounds for mandamus relief. Please let me know not later than October 24, 2016 whether your office intends to proceed with the case. If I do not receive a response by then, I will likely seek mandamus relief.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ty Clevenger', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Ty Clevenger

cc: Arkansas Supreme Court
Mr. Michael Harmon