

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable William H. Alsup, Judge

Ty Clevenger,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
VS.	)	NO. C 17-02798 WHA
	)	
Gregory P. Dresser, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

San Francisco, California  
Thursday, July 20, 2017

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

**BY: TY CLEVINGER  
PRO SE**

For Defendant:

STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA  
180 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105-1617

**BY: ROBERT G. RETANA  
SUZANNE C. GRANDT**

Reported By: Rhonda L. Aquilina, CSR #9956, RMR, CRR  
Official Court Reporter

1 Thursday - July 20, 2017

8:41 a.m.

2 P R O C E E D I N G S

3 ---000---

4 **THE COURT:** We'll go to the State Bar case, Clevenger,  
5 17-2798.

6 **MR. RETANA:** Good morning, Your Honor. Robert Retana  
7 and Suzanne Grandt for the State Bar of California.

8 **THE COURT:** Thank you.

9 **MR. CLEVINGER:** Good morning, Your Honor. Ty  
10 Clevenger, pro se.

11 **THE COURT:** Thank you. All right. Whose motion is  
12 this?

13 **MR. RETANA:** Well, Your Honor, there's two matters on.  
14 There's a motion to dismiss, and Ms. Grandt -- we've prepared  
15 it as follows. Ms. Grandt is prepared to argue the motion to  
16 dismiss, and I'm prepared to argue the motion to correct the  
17 record, and there was a cross-motion for discovery, so I am  
18 prepared to argue that part of it.

19 **THE COURT:** Well, all right. I want -- I want you  
20 all -- I'm very familiar with what happened here. And I was  
21 misled on purpose last time we were here, because it was  
22 important for me to know -- it could be that Mr. Clevenger is  
23 some kind of shyster and deserves to be disbarred. I don't  
24 know. I know nothing about him. But he brought this lawsuit  
25 trying to stop what he said was a First Amendment violation

1 that you, the State Bar, were punishing him because he wrote  
2 unflattering things about the State Bar. I haven't read any of  
3 them. I don't know if it's unflattering or not.

4 It was important to me at the last hearing, and I think  
5 you were the one who was here, I said: *Will he be able to take*  
6 *discovery in the State Bar court and raise all these issues in*  
7 *the State Bar court?* And you told me flat out "yes" at least  
8 three times. Then about two weeks later we get a letter from  
9 your side saying that was false, untrue, and you wanted to  
10 correct it. Well, fine. I'm letting you correct it. But  
11 maybe the punishment for that is he's going to get a chance to  
12 take some depositions in this Court that he could use because  
13 your court won't allow it.

14 Now, you can now respond. Go ahead.

15 **MR. RETANA:** Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

16 So first of all, first and foremost, you know, we  
17 apologize to the Court for any misunderstanding.

18 **THE COURT:** Any? There was -- I don't know if it was  
19 false on purpose, but it was reckless --

20 **MR. RETANA:** Well --

21 **THE COURT:** -- what you told me.

22 **MR. RETANA:** Your Honor, I could assure you that  
23 Ms. Grandt -- it was not her intention to make any false  
24 statements.

25 But can I just -- there's a few things I just want to say.

1           **THE COURT:** Get the State Bar to look into *her*  
2 conduct. Maybe my committee here in this Court, the committee  
3 that we have here for admitting to practice in this Court ought  
4 to look into Ms. Grandt's conduct. Maybe we'll have two  
5 investigations going at once.

6           **MR. RETANA:** Well, Your Honor, the point I would like  
7 to make is that there's a couple of different issues here.  
8 First of all, the misstatements that were made, or inaccurate  
9 statements, deal with the extent to which discovery is  
10 available in the State Bar court.

11           And Ms. Grandt was remiss in not stating to the Court that  
12 there are rules of procedure that require a showing of good  
13 cause before Mr. Clevenger would be allowed to take the  
14 discovery that he wishes.

15           There's a separate issue that arises because the -- there  
16 was a letter that was sent to the General Counsel Vanessa  
17 Holton by Mr. Clevenger, and in the letter he says he is  
18 concerned about statements made by Ms. Grandt at the hearing  
19 that he would be able to fully litigate his federal claims in  
20 State Bar court, and I respond to that letter saying that the  
21 statements were accurate. And what I was responding to was  
22 that specific issue.

23           The issue of whether or not he can fully litigate his  
24 claims in State Bar court is our good faith legal position, and  
25 we maintain that position for purposes of today's hearing. So

1 the idea of whether or not he could fully litigate those claims  
2 was responded to in the letter with citations to our legal  
3 authority for that proposition.

4 The statements that were inaccurate amount to statements  
5 that the failure to note that there's a requirement of good  
6 cause before the discovery can take place. But there are in  
7 fact State Bar discovery rules that permit the type of  
8 discovery that were -- that was mentioned in deposition,  
9 subpoenas, and so forth. But there is a requirement that the  
10 Court find good cause.

11 And I think if you even look at the transcript, Ms. Grandt  
12 says several times that, you know, he can make those arguments  
13 to the Court. And in the Court's order the exchange that's  
14 quoted on the first and second page, it concludes by saying,  
15 "he can make those arguments, and some judge is going to rule  
16 on it," and Ms. Grandt says "that's correct." So I think it  
17 was her understanding that, you know, there was -- implicit  
18 understanding that, you know, she can't -- she's not a judge,  
19 she can't authorize him to take any discovery or not take any  
20 discovery; it has to be subject to a finding by the State Bar  
21 court. And she should have clarified that.

22 But there was no intention on Ms. Grandt's part to mislead  
23 the Court, and she's apologized in her declaration. I  
24 apologize again. But, you know, sometimes these are hard  
25 lessons to learn. It's better to say, "I'm not sure, I need to

1 check," than to give an answer to the Court when you're not a  
2 hundred percent certain.

3 So we don't believe that it rises to the level of any sort  
4 of misconduct or bad faith or recklessness. We feel it was an  
5 error in not clarifying to the Court that there is a  
6 requirement that the Court find good faith for discovery.

7 And I'd also like to point out that Mr. Clevenger has in  
8 fact filed a motion for discovery in the State Bar court, and  
9 he's raised the federal issues in his answer to the State Bar  
10 court, so these issues --

11 **THE COURT:** Well, has that discovery been allowed?

12 **MR. RETANA:** Well, it's subject to a ruling by the  
13 Court, so it hasn't been allowed. So if the discovery is not  
14 allowed, his remedy is to appeal to the California Supreme  
15 Court, not to conduct parallel proceedings in this Court. It  
16 would violate the principles of comity. And the exclusive  
17 jurisdiction for governing the conduct of attorneys is with the  
18 California Supreme Court.

19 The California Supreme Court is fully competent to  
20 entertain his arguments, entertain federal constitutional  
21 questions, decide whether or not discovery is allowed. So the  
22 fact that he has to go through that procedure doesn't violate  
23 his due process rights.

24 And, you know, the fact that he's requested this discovery  
25 and pointed out the issue in the State Bar court almost moots

1 the arguments that he's making here, because they are in  
2 fact -- they are in fact being considered by the Court.

3 **MR. CLEVINGER:** Your Honor, may I respond?

4 **THE COURT:** No, not yet.

5 **MR. CLEVINGER:** Okay.

6 **THE COURT:** Why did you interrupt?

7 **MR. CLEVINGER:** Sorry, Your Honor.

8 **THE COURT:** Have you finished?

9 **MR. RETANA:** Those are the main points.

10 I'm happy to answer any questions the Court has. But I  
11 want to emphasize that we understand that we're the State Bar  
12 of California, and we have to be beyond reproach in everything  
13 that we do.

14 And I just want to say Ms. Grandt is a very hardworking  
15 attorney. She prepares very hard for these hearings, and I  
16 think her declaration shows that it was not -- there was  
17 nothing intentional. She's apologized to the Court. I  
18 apologized to the Court. We can have Ms. Grandt apologize  
19 again.

20 **THE COURT:** No, I don't need anymore apologies.

21 **MR. RETANA:** But I don't think it rises to the level  
22 of --

23 **THE COURT:** I've got a lot of hearings.

24 All right. Your turn.

25 **MR. CLEVINGER:** Your Honor, there's been a new

1 development. On Friday -- he referenced the fact that I filed  
2 a motion to permit discovery, and that's true.

3 On Friday of last week, the defendants in that case filed  
4 an opposition across the board to my request for discovery. So  
5 I think it's a bit disingenuous --

6 **THE COURT:** Who do you want to depose? Give me the  
7 most important person you think you should be able to depose.

8 **MR. CLEVINGER:** The Chief Trial Counsel.

9 **THE COURT:** Who is that?

10 **MR. CLEVINGER:** Well, he's no longer there, Greg  
11 Dresser, so the parties have changed somewhat. And also the  
12 prosecuting attorneys, your Honor.

13 **THE COURT:** Why would you get the prosecuting  
14 attorneys?

15 **MR. CLEVINGER:** Because they're the ones in the best  
16 position to know who is calling the shots on the case.

17 Also what happened on Monday of this week is a trial date  
18 in the State Bar court was set for September 6th and 7th, which  
19 means I've got about six weeks. I don't even know yet if I'm  
20 going to be permitted discovery in that case, so I'm getting  
21 whipsawed --

22 **THE COURT:** All right. I'm going to give you one  
23 two-hour deposition of Mr. Dresser --

24 **MR. CLEVINGER:** Yes, Your Honor.

25 **THE COURT:** -- out of this Court. It's a sanction for

1 what Ms. Grandt did to the Court. I ordinarily would be  
2 inclined to grant this, the *Younger* abstention.

3 **MR. CLEVINGER:** Your Honor --

4 **THE COURT:** No, no, I'm not done. This is -- it's  
5 almost unheard of to do what Mr. Clevenger wants here, and I  
6 would normally throw this case into oblivion, except Ms. Grandt  
7 misrepresented things to me and made an important difference in  
8 the ruling.

9 I don't believe you'll get a fair hearing in the State Bar  
10 court. I think the way this thing has been presented to me,  
11 that they're going to shortchange you. You left out the fact  
12 that you're opposing that discovery.

13 **MR. RETANA:** Your Honor --

14 **THE COURT:** Just a minute.

15 But I think you get, as a sanction, you get to depose  
16 Mr. Dresser for two hours, and that's going to be it.

17 I'm sorry I can't solve every problem in life of  
18 Mr. Clevenger. But you -- there are procedures. You can take  
19 appeals. You can do all -- maybe I'll let you take the one  
20 deposition for two hours, and then because I have a feeling  
21 you're going to get zero out of the State Bar court.

22 Yes? What do you want to say?

23 **MR. RETANA:** Just about the issue of the opposition.  
24 We're not opposing it on the basis that he's not able to take  
25 discovery in State Bar court. It's based on the fact that he

1 has not established good cause, because the allegations are --

2 **THE COURT:** Well, he did blogs; right?

3 **MR. RETANA:** But he's made this very same --

4 **THE COURT:** He's come before the State Bar, and then  
5 here just conveniently right after that you start to prosecute  
6 him. All right. So, I'm sorry, but there's enough of a theory  
7 here that it's --

8 **MR. RETANA:** But he's made these very same allegations  
9 when he was under disciplinary proceedings in the D.C. court,  
10 and the D.C. court's disciplinary order was finalized a few  
11 months before proceedings were initiated here. So I don't  
12 think the record as stated by Mr. Clevenger is accurate.

13 **THE COURT:** Yeah, but you didn't have -- it just  
14 happens to be that you elected to do reciprocal discovery --  
15 reciprocal punishment, disbarment, after he wrote things that  
16 are critical of the State Bar.

17 Now, I didn't just fall off a turnip truck. I've seen  
18 enough retaliation claims and know that the first thing that an  
19 employee does, whenever they're about to be fired, is they do  
20 something that would get retaliated, so they can say  
21 retaliation.

22 So maybe what Mr. Clevenger is doing here is just a  
23 gimmick, and he's pulling the wool over my eyes. Maybe. I'm  
24 not saying I even believe any of this. I'm just telling you  
25 this. I didn't like what Ms. Grandt did. She misled me, and

1 led me to believe that he would get to take those depositions  
2 of the State Bar court. So I'm going to give him the  
3 deposition of Dresser. You do the subpoena, get him, two hours  
4 air time, no interruptions. If there are interruptions, then  
5 there's going to be more time. That's the only discovery that  
6 I'm allowing. Then he can use that in the State Bar court.

7 **MS. GRANDT:** Your Honor, if I may just add something.

8 You know, once again, I apologize for misrepresentations,  
9 and if you want to sanction the State Bar and me personally,  
10 you have the authority to do that. But the relief that you're  
11 giving him would be unprecedented and would allow any person  
12 to --

13 **THE COURT:** Well, then take it to the courts of  
14 appeal.

15 **MS. GRANDT:** Was is it?

16 **THE COURT:** Take a writ to the courts of appeal if you  
17 think that it's unprecedented. I'm trying to do the fair thing  
18 here.

19 **MS. GRANDT:** It would just open the door to allowing  
20 any attorney who is being prosecuted in the State Bar to run  
21 into federal court and then state that they were being  
22 discriminated against.

23 **THE COURT:** Well, then next time you will know,  
24 because then I'm going to say, oh, no, it's different, because  
25 last time Ms. Grandt misrepresented things to me, that's why I

1 did it.

2 **MS. GRANDT:** But, Your Honor, it's our position in our  
3 papers that this Court doesn't have jurisdiction over this  
4 case.

5 **THE COURT:** Well, then take a writ. I'm sorry. I  
6 believe I've got enough jurisdiction to impose the sanction  
7 that I am imposing, which is that he gets to take a two-hour  
8 deposition. And next time the State Bar will be a little bit  
9 more honest with the poor federal judge.

10 I've got so many cases to run here. I wish I didn't have  
11 this case either, you know. But I do have the case, and I've  
12 got to do the best I can in the limited time that I've got.  
13 And if you don't like my answer, that's okay with me if you  
14 take a writ to the court of appeals. God bless you. They're  
15 smarter than I am. They will fix it if I made a mistake.

16 **MS. GRANDT:** And just to clarify the record, he  
17 blogged about a year before the charges were brought, and about  
18 four months before the charges were brought, that's when the  
19 disciplinary order was initiated in D.C. in November. So he  
20 blogged about six months prior to the final order of discipline  
21 in D.C. And then four months after that D.C. order came, the  
22 State Bar brought charges.

23 **THE COURT:** Listen, I want to make it real clear that  
24 if Mr. Clevenger ever represents to the contrary, I am in no  
25 way saying that there was a First Amendment violation. I am in

1 no way saying that Mr. Clevenger is an honorable guy. I am in  
2 no way saying that he doesn't deserve to be thrown out of the  
3 State Bar. I am not doing any of that. This is strictly  
4 because you -- I'm making this order because Ms. Grandt told me  
5 something that wasn't true, and I relied on it. And the relief  
6 that plaintiff is going to get is very limited relief that he  
7 gets a two-hour deposition to make up for what you told me.  
8 This is -- I'm doing what I think is the fair thing to do.

9 **MR. RETANA:** So --

10 **THE COURT:** So there. That's what the ruling is.

11 **MR. RETANA:** Yes, Your Honor. So procedurally, where  
12 does that leave us in terms of the motion to dismiss?

13 **THE COURT:** I'm going to hold all of that in abeyance.  
14 I'm not going to dismiss anything until this deposition is  
15 taken. Maybe then I will just abstain. Abstain, not dismiss,  
16 abstain. Do you know the difference?

17 **MR. RETANA:** Yes, I do, Your Honor.

18 **THE COURT:** Okay. Well, maybe I will abstain. That's  
19 why it's called a *Younger* abstention.

20 **MR. RETANA:** Yes, sir.

21 **THE COURT:** I'll wait and see how it develops over  
22 there. Maybe it develops in a fair way. Maybe it develops in  
23 an unfair way.

24 **MR. RETANA:** After the deposition has been completed,  
25 how do we bring this back to the Court's attention?

1           **THE COURT:** You know, after the deposition, you can  
2 revisit it, so can he. If it turns out they deny him all  
3 discovery, maybe he can ask for two or three more depositions  
4 over here. Maybe not.

5           **MR. CLEVINGER:** Your Honor, may I ask a quick  
6 question?

7           **THE COURT:** Yes, please.

8           **MR. CLEVINGER:** I listed some potential  
9 interrogatories.

10          **THE COURT:** No. We're not going to do that. You've  
11 got a two-hour deposition, and that's it.

12          **MR. CLEVINGER:** Understood.

13          **THE COURT:** Life is too short.

14          **MR. CLEVINGER:** Understood.

15          **THE COURT:** Life is too short. That's it, two-hour  
16 deposition of Mr. Dresser. And it's your responsibility to  
17 subpoena him, track him down, get him into the room in time,  
18 and all of that stuff.

19          **MR. CLEVINGER:** Understood.

20          **THE COURT:** All right. End of hearing. Thank you.

21          **MR. RETANA:** Thank you, Your Honor.

22          **MR. CLEVINGER:** Thank you.

23                   (Proceedings adjourned at 8:55 a.m.)

24                               ---oOo---

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript  
from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

DATE: Friday, July 21, 2017



---

Rhonda L. Aquilina, CSR No. 9956, RMR, CRR  
Court Reporter